

Werner, David N.

From: Dastouri, Mehrdad
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2007 1:10 PM
To: Werner, David N.
Subject: RE: 10/743714

As we discussed Claims 4 and 5 should be objected to and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, and amending the claims to overcome the 112 rejection and other objections.

Mehrdad

-----Original Message-----

From: Werner, David N.
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2007 3:25 PM
To: Dastouri, Mehrdad
Subject: 10/743714

Could you please look at claims 4 and 5? I brought this in to the QEM today and the Primaries think these may be allowable. The percentage (%) sign seems to indicate a modulo operation (I objected to the claims based on this, and did other objections and 112 second rejections). I was able to make 103 rejections for claims 1-3. The best art I could find was 2004/0141554 A1 (Phong et al.)

David N. Werner
Examiner, AU 2621
Room: KNOX 6B84 Phone: (571) 272-9662
david.werner@uspto.gov